



Ruins of the Temple of Mithra. Carrowburgh, England.

Test of the Crown

Ver: 1.2

Introduction to The Crown Test

In the Mithraic Mystery Schools, an initiate — once the grade of *Miles* was attained — would be tested by being offered a crown (Cumont, 1913). The test was to determine worthiness and whether or not the initiate was a threat to the true bearer of the crown. On a slightly more esoteric level, it was a means of deciphering if the initiate was without hubris (ὑβρις). It was believed that if the initiate had not already begun the *Solve* of the ego, then they would be incapable of *Coagula* before the throne of Mithras.

The Crown Test of Outer Representative

The crown test is prevalent in many secret societies and especially noticeable in the Order of Nine Angles. However, the ‘crown’ used by ONA is symbolised as the position of Outer Representative.

The test or ‘jape’ of Outer Representative is implemented to determine the very same attributes and traits that the Cult of Mithras did. Can it be therefore said that anyone who accepted the position of Outer Representative failed to initiate into the mysteries of the Order of Nine Angles?

When we look at the list of Outer Representatives over the years we can see that this is somewhat plausible, but what if the crown had a duration test attached to it in addition? Christos Beast accepted the crown, yet saw through it not too long after, suggesting that he passed the test. Thornian was then offered the crown, accepted it and held onto it for a long duration, never having reported seeing through the ruse.

Chloe was also offered the crown, accepted it (Xeno and Ortega, 2013) and held onto it for a significant period of time, suggesting that she could have failed the test [1], in turn suggesting that such a failure contributed to the Old Guard abandoning her. However, seeing as the Old Guard had established a behavioural pattern concerned with moving on from the Order by that time, such a theory is equivocally unlikely as it is likely.

Next in line to possess the crown was Jall, who held it for a generous period of time just like Chloe and Thornian. Then, it was Nameless Therein, who accepted the crown, only to resign his position later due to a conflict of interest (Therein, 2023), but did he pass or fail? An analysis of the manner in which he later rejected the crown would suggest the latter due to his choice to pursue personal projects instead, which could be viewed as hamartia (ἁμαρτία).

Note: Prior to Nameless Therein, there was the case of Beast Xeno. who was offered the position of Outer Representative, but refused it, instead choosing to vouch for someone else who he believed was a worthy candidate, thereby avoiding the trap of ὕβρις and consequently ἀμαρτία.

Finally, there is the curious case of one Ryan Anschauung. When Ryan was contacted by Dark Logos (*Anschauung and Logos, 2009*) he asked Ryan the infamous Adept Questions, to which Ryan responded honestly, in the sense that he did not know the answers. Dark Logos responded in a manner similar to the words of Socrates: “The only true wisdom is in knowing you know nothing”. Most importantly, Ryan’s admitting of his lack of knowledge (at the time) was the exact opposite of ὕβρις, thus preventing ἀμαρτία, which in the eyes of the Mystery Schools of Mithras would have been a positive point.

Equating the role of Outer Representative to the crown test once used by the Cult of Mithras certainly reveals a different perspective on several events and incidents of significance within the ONA and O9A that took place. Yet, it could be said that this is all a false equivalency, but given that the Cult of Mithras also utilised the seven sphere planets in their mythos, what can be deduced?

“Nymphus (Male Bride), Miles (Soldier), Leo (Lion), Peres (Persian), Heliodromus (Sun-Runner), and Pater (Father); each respective grade protected by Mercury, Venus, Mars, Jupiter, the Moon, the Sun, and Saturn.”

- Franz Cumont, *Les Mystères de Mithra, The Rites of Mithraic Initiation*



Addendum:

The Great Discrepancy

Curiously, Ryan first became a student of Christos Beest in 2004 — who by then had succeeded Anton Long’s position (*Beest, 2000*) — and was initiated into the mysteries he kept. Why then, was Ryan re-tested by another, albeit alleged member of the Old Guard; moreover, why did that later lead to his ostracisation by O9A, TOB and WSA when as stated by Chloe in an inner O9A email to Scott Liddell and others, Ryan was one of five (number three to be exact) persons whom she believed had “risen to the top” (*Ortega and Liddell, et al.*)?

An even more intriguing question is why — when Dark Logos (confirmed by Chloe to be Old Guard) asked the Adept Questions of Ryan in 2009 — did Chloe still regard Ryan in such high regard in 2013, and why did it take the “Inner ONA” five years to conclude that Ryan was a “charlatan” (*Boyle, 2014*) and publish that conclusion when the Adept Questions are supposed to determine who is and isn’t a charlatan on the spot? Occam’s razor would conclude that internal, personal politicking was behind Ryan’s ostracisation, not his exchange with Dark Logos, not his inability to answer the Adept Questions adequately or any other claim made by JB (June Boyle), as it would not have taken five years for Ryan to be ostracised for those given reasons. Therefore, Ryan’s inviting to the Facebook group where he was questioned was nothing but a show trial, for the verdict had already been decided for five years [2].

There is another, more damning piece of evidence that corroborates the show trial theory. There were a few versions of Ryan Anschauung’s recorded character assassination, but one in particular — accompanied by screenshots from the Facebook conversation — states that Ryan Anschauung was invited to the conversation by convicted child abuser Ryan Fleming, unbeknownst to Ryan Anschauung at the time (*O9A, n.d.*).

It has been suggested that “JB” (June Boyle) is a *nome de plume* for David Myatt, but the fact that Ryan Fleming was in very close proximity to June Boyle, as indicated by June instructing Fleming to lure Ryan Anschauung into the trap, reveals that June Boyle is not David Myatt or the Old Guard, or even associated to them because as has been made clear by the ONA (not O9A) in many manuscripts, child abusers are to be culled and most certainly not associated with.

This is concerning because the MS *An O9A Education* is hosted on o9a.org and clearly served as a first draft for JB’s updated MS, culminating in the reveal — when taken into account with all prior evidence on this matter — that the trial of Ryan Anschauung was an utter sham conducted by the Sutterian O9A, instead of the priorly-believed ONA. Thus, Ryan has been unjustly persecuted for ten years by practitioners and influential nymms of the sinister tradition simply because of one or more of the following reasons:

1) everyone but a select few — ABG Lodge and Beast Xeno most notably — lacked the intelligence and empathy to conclude that Ryan was set up; 2) no one cared; 3) it was in the best interest of those influential few to see Ryan’s reputation tarnished. [3]

As was recorded in 2012, via an email between Beast Xeno and Chloe Ortega, the means of determining who was “ONA” [4] and associated with them was by way of possessing two autobiographies posited [5] to have been written by the founders of the ONA (*Xeno and Ortega, 2012*). Upon studying the email [6], which contained an attachment of Myndsquilver and the other autobiography, two things are made clear:

1) The Adept Questions were no longer in use by 2012 as a means of determining who was “Inner ONA” and connected to them because at that point the means of determining such a thing was by asking those who claimed to be so questions from the two autobiographies, as stated by Chloe.

Note regarding (1): At some point after 2013, the Adept Questions were once again chosen as a means of determining who was “Inner ONA” and associated with them, replacing the previous method involving the two autobiographies.

2) Ryan Anschauung was viewed as a close associate of the ONA despite allegedly not possessing the two autobiographies. However, in an email received from the account of Richard John Moulton, Ryan was asked to pass on an updated, final version of Myndsquilver to Chloe (*Anschauung and Moulton, 2013*), indicating that Ryan did indeed possess the first version and for all intents and purposes was thus a close associate, or “underling” of the “Inner ONA”. If this was not the case, Chloe would have been the “underling” that Richard passed the final version of Myndsquilver to, not Ryan.

Again, and most pertinently, Ryan was the only one who had contact with Richard according to that email. When this is put into perspective with the ways of esoteric tutorship in the Sinister Tradition — how teaching is performed on a one-to-one basis — the truth of whether or not Ryan passed the crown test becomes ever more apparent, as does the truth concerning those whom did not. The practical joke of the Old Guard’s Pythagorean Cup (Outer Representative) reveals those who poured too much wine and then ruined their togas as consequence of doing so. Q.E.D.

- Nine Neighbours
2024 e.v.

Footnotes:

{1} Regardless of whether Chloe failed the crown test or not, the one thing that cannot be denied is her contribution to the Sinister Tradition. Chloe remains a talented, intelligent and innovative woman of the sinister feminine archetype in spite of what may or may not have happened, unlikely to be forgotten any time soon. Her undervalued Nexion Zines can be found here for posterity: <https://archive.org/search?query=Nexion+Zine>

{2} When Ryan was asked the Adept Questions by Dark Logos in 2009, it would have been made clear from Ryan's responses that he was not an ONA Adept. The fact that Ryan was asked the questions again later, communicates that "JB" was not connected to, nor did not know, Dark Logos, because it would have been mentioned to JB by Dark Logos that Ryan was not an ONA Adept, and thus the Facebook interrogation would have been superfluous. This further supports that Ryan was: (a) set-up to partake in a show trial, and (b) was the victim of a personal attack in order to discredit him for some personal goal of another.

{3} Circa 2014 Ryan Anschauung was vocal about his opposition to the O9A's influential few supporting CSA (child sexual abuse) and his suspicions about F.B.I infiltration [testimony provided by Ryan Anschauung, 2024]. Understanding now that Ryan's character assassination was conducted with the assistance of convicted CSA offender Ryan Fleming, it is highly probable that Ryan Anschauung, who was in a position of influence, could have jeopardised the psychological operation and sting operation (O9A) that was being conducted by Joshua Sutter and the F.B.I.

{4} Regarding *A Brief Hello*: (references 2 and 8): Chloe remarks on how the Authority of Individual Judgement is an invention of ONA, yet the authors of O9A Way – believed to be Old Guard – state that it was a product of "ONA 2.0" (O9A/Omega 9 Alpha) not "ONA 1.0" (ONA/Order of Nine Angles):
<https://theo9away.wordpress.com/2024/08/18/ona-1-0-and-ona-2-0/>

{5} Regarding *A Brief Hello*: within the email Chloe states, "what is written and said in public - especially online - just can't be trusted, especially if it is about a person we may not have any real life connection to and knowledge of." Holding this in mind, the two autobiographies could have been fabricated by persons who were not the "founders of the ONA". Conversely, the Adept Questions – when reintroduced after 2013 (questions that have now be changed and have increased in number to 13) – could also be a jape, as to quote Chloe once more, "what is written and said in public - especially online - just can't be trusted". Thus, with this admission, there is a 50% probability that because both autobiographies were leaked online they are falsifications, and a 50% probability that the Adept Questions, since being made public, are a jape.

{6} Regarding *A Brief Hello*: the email has been redacted in places to protect information pertaining to Chloe's private, 'non-business' affairs, and other persons she references in confidence.

References:

- (1) Cumont, Franz. *Les Mystères de Mithra*. 1913.
https://www.cais-soas.com/CAIS/Religions/iranian/Mithraism/mithraism_and_christianity.htm
- (2) Xeno, Beast; Ortega, Chloe. *A Brief Hello*. 2012.
- (3) Therein, Nameless. *Conspectus*. o9a.org. 2023.
<https://web.archive.org/web/20230329092534/http://o9a.org/>
- (4) Anschauung, Ryan; Logos, Dark. *Recreation of the Dark Logos Email Reference Loop*. 2009.
- (5) Ortega, Chloe; Liddell, Scott, et al. *Omega and the Alpha*. 2013
- (6) June, Boyle (JB). *Ryan Anschaaung and His Temple of Them: The Amusing Case of Aussie Alex*. 2014.
<http://www.o9a.org/wp-content/uploads/the-la-la-temple-v4.pdf>
- (7) Beest, Christos. *An Inheritance*. ONA. 2000.
- (8) O9A. *An O9A Education*. O9A.org. N.d.
<https://www.o9a.org/wp-content/uploads/an-o9a-education.pdf>
- (9) Anschauung, Ryan; Moulton, Richard. *Photograph of the Myndsquilver Email*. 2013.

Links not included in the references section of this document can be found under the article of the same name, currently hosted on 9ao.org.

Afterword

The discourse regarding the importance of distinguishing ONA/Order of Nine Angles from O9A/Omega 9 Alpha has been met by one or two sinister ‘influencers’ with an opposing view, which is somewhat expected given that they stand to lose profit should it become the general consensus that O9A and ONA are separate entities and that the ONA is in fact something that you cannot simply claim a connection to without being physically involved in it.

The distinction between O9A and ONA is of the utmost importance for three reasons:

(1) It has been proven time and time again that the O9A was – and is – under the influence of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The Authority of Individual Judgement, or ‘no limits evil’, as Sutter called it, was likely put in place to allow agent provocateurs to entrap people with much more ease than they would be able to if the O9A had a system of ethics that was enforced by a governing entity. Sans a system of ethics, sans the ability enforce them, and sans the need for people to be traditionally initiated, agents and confidential informants can easily pass themselves off as an authority on the sinister tradition by using monikers, terms and references to it without being challenged or held accountable by an official party.

(2) The O9A would be nothing without the 21st century ONA texts providing a now disputed legitimacy and legacy for the O9A. Should it become general discourse that the ONA and O9A are not the same entity, with the Order of Nine Angles being British – European at most – and Omega 9 Alpha being American, the F.B.I would find it incredibly difficult to infiltrate and subvert the ONA once its undercover agents were confirmed to be Americans without a transcontinental task force dedicated to the infiltration objective, which would take a lot of interdepartmental communication, international jurisdictional power games, and resources, which is a significant bureaucratic headache that a singular agency would not take on.

(3) How can we call ourselves alchemists (those dedicated to uncovering the *lapis philosophicus*) if we are not willing to strive for the grand truth that is obfuscated by the ego? In this pursuit we must use every faculty we have at our disposal: reason, empathy, logic – to follow the golden thread to the source. This includes uncovering the truth about the ONA and O9A, for the ONA’s Septenary system and its traditional satanic mythos/praxis is what grants us the means to achieve that magnum opus. Oppositionally, the O9A is what obfuscates those means, and not for any alchemical reason, but instead to assist the causal, magian abstraction that is the United States federal government.

