

# Nine Neighbours



## Darganfod

Chicanery, Perfidy and Misrepresentation  
Within the Sinister Tradition

*from*

N A  
O

## Contents

I. Introduction

II. Larvae in the O9A

III. Test of the Crown

IV. Veritas in Spiritu

V. Lest You Forget

## Introduction

Dargonfod is a collection of all four primary articles released by the Nine Neighbours between 2024 and 2025. The styles, fonts and layouts vary with each article, as their presentation was at times dictated by attempts to lay traps for targets of their investigation in order to yield more information once feedback was received from them and reactions were observed. For this reason, each article is unique in its presentation.

This pdf should be read from cover to cover and treated as stages of an investigation; meaning that concepts and theories in former articles may be by default retracted by evidence later discovered in latter articles, even if not overtly remarked upon by the authors.

Due to the decision to keep the original presentation of the articles, page numbers have been removed and therefore the contents page contain only the titles of the articles and their order. If you desire to use any of the articles for citation purposes, you should locate each article individually. They can be found at the following locations:

Larvae in the O9A

<https://www.9ao.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/08/wp-1724403184283.pdf>

Test of the Crown

<https://www.9ao.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/wp-1728857202361.pdf>

Veritas in Spiritu

<https://www.9ao.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/11/wp-1732912206674.pdf>

Lest You Forget

<https://mega.nz/file/tr1AwahZ#Esov0M1Hd6vgVklZpSz-u6xyVE8M2uW1hJ2xSX-bHD4>

Note: If evidence or references happen to be missing or incomplete, please visit 9ao.org and locate the desired article to retrieve them in full.

### Disclaimer:

Whether or not the conclusions reached by the Nine Neighbours are true is for the reader to decide. We encourage you to analyse the evidence provided in each article, perform cross-references and use logical process to uncover the truth. We performed a great deal of this during our investigations, therefore most of the work is done, but we nevertheless invite you to review our findings. We also advise that you keep in mind two principles while conducting your investigation: (1) Occam's razor; (2) the process of elimination, best summed up as: "Once you eliminate the impossible, whatever remains, no matter how improbable, must be the truth." Naturally, the second principle only applies once the space of possibilities has been fully exhausted, lest you commit the 'Holmesian fallacy'.

Happy Hunting...

## Λόγος & ἀμαρτία: Larvae in the O9A

Prefatory Note:

The evidence featured within this text has been mostly acquired from sources that are in the public domain, that may or may not have been hidden in plain sight, and the remainder was held within private domain.

ooo

The content creator known as Interzone Analysis has been generating some interesting discussions in the comment section of a video of his titled: The Absolute State of the O9A. "Niners", described by Chloe as freelance operatives that self-identify with the O9A, have been arriving in small numbers to discuss what contributed to the downfall of the O9A and what can be done to turn it around.

Among the Niners, we were pleasantly surprised to see a well-known name giving his opinion. It was none other than Nameless Therein, back from obscurity after publicly swearing in an article published by him on the o9a dot-org website (that was deleted shortly after his very public and passionate disavowal) that he would not return.

<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=WGTFXCfGzke&pp=ygUoSW50ZXJ6b25lIGFuYWx5c2lzIGFic29sdXRlIHNoYXRlIG9mIE85QQ%3D%3D>

[The comment by Nameless Therein has been pinned by Interzone at the time of writing]

While the original o9a dot-org post titled 'Conspectus' has been deleted by Brett Stevens, Nameless Therein's heartfelt resignation can be found at the following link:

<https://web.archive.org/web/20230329092534/http://o9a.org/>

From his return and the gathering of self-stylised shepherds intent on taking control of O9A, but currently without the courage to do so, it's clear that there is a becoming, unified logos among Niners that is propelling them in an effort to return beauty to that which is now ugly. The only problem with this coming quest is that the O9A has always been rottenness anticipating ever since the ONA returned to the dark in 1998 e.v (Beest, 1998).

ooo

What the MS Terfyniad brings into question is the allegation made in the infamous email between BX and Chloe, in which she stated that the Order of Nine Angles did not exist as a physical entity in what she referred to as "phase one."

*For reference: phase one, or P1, is the era attributed to the elusive entity known as the 'old guard'.*

If Chloe's allegation was true, why would Christos Beest, a man that has nothing short of an honourable reputation within the Sinister Tradition, feel the need to announce that the ONA would be returning to the dark, and why would he dissolve Rigel Press (Terfyniad, 1998)? Furthermore, why would Order of the Deorc Fyre also follow that example soon after (Williams, n.d.)?

Keeping in mind an ODF member moved to England to become a representative of ODF (Williams, n.d.), does this not speak to the existence of a very real and connected clandestine ONA network? For what other reason would an entity that grew out of The Black Order, which had by then been relocated to England (Erda, n.d.), have for also going dark at "some point after 1997"?

*"The ultimate withdrawal of the ODF from the public domain occurred at some point after 1997 (the exact date remains unknown to the present writer). Initially, as far as I am aware, the ODF went 'underground' imitating the withdrawal of the ONA."*

*- Order of The Deorc Fyre — Overview*

ooo

Thus, without any proof provided by Chloe to substantiate the claim she made in the email, her allegation of the ONA not existing as a physical entity in phase one is nothing more than conjecture, and even causes the questioning of whether she really was on the inside of the ONA, or whether she was just another victim of the machinations of Sutter's O9A.

One testimony from Ryan of Temple of Them certainly calls into question the validity of her character in an agency capacity. According to Ryan, Chloe advocated the weaponising of pedophilia against mundanes (Temple of Them, 2023), which to rational and deductive minds should introduce the very real possibility that Chloe had a much closer relationship to Sutter's activities than initially believed, and if this was indeed the case, she would naturally have a motivation to sully other reputations in order to protect her own.

*"I was present in the O9A forum's when two prominent members, DL9 and Chloe both advocated pedophilia as a strategy against mundanes; a suggestion met by others in the forum with mixed response."*

*- Temple of Them.*

For clarification, O9A is what became of the public remnants of the ONA after they left the public stage and Rigel Press was dissolved by Christos Beest (Beest, 1998). The distinction between ONA and O9A must now be made clear.

ooo o ooo

ONA:

The ONA, which has been in the dark since 1998 e.v, was never exported from the British Isles. It never possessed the concept of the Authority of Individual Judgement, that is to say the ONA had hierarchy, rules, standards, ethics et al. An individual could not just "become it", they had to endure and persevere through a personal quest of occult adjacent pathei-mathos before they would even learn what it was they were involved in, and even then all efforts would be sought to hide the truth, not as a deliberate intention to deceive for some personal abstract goal of another, but as part of the alchemical processes of Nigredo, Albedo and Rubedo. Thus, the secrecy of the 'old guard' (so often remarked upon in a negative light) is necessary for the production of genuine adepts, as any serious student or scholar of traditional western alchemy will agree.

O9A:

The O9A is the opposite. Anyone can become a 'member' because there is no hierarchy, no traditional initiation, no rules, no expectations and no stipulations as to what constitutes a temple/nexion (beyond the flawed Code of Kindred Honour), allowing the opportunity for charlatans to exploit genuine persons interested in the Longusian Tradition; for people to act in ways antithetical to ONA ethics without being held accountable. This is the legacy of the Authority of Individual Judgement. Therefore, the blame that is illogically placed on the 'old guard' for ruining the O9A is tragically misplaced. The only thing they can be held responsible for is contributing to the O9A's creation by returning the ONA to the dark, but to assign blame to them based on a decision that protected the best parts of the Sinister Tradition from corruption is nothing short of childish.

To those people that assign blame to the old guard for some perceived ruining of their lives, we say grow up! Take responsibility for your own actions, your own failings; own that you failed their tests and fell for the machinations of those that were/are operating under the O9A banner.

Despite all of this, the old guard have taken responsibility for O9A and are actively trying to elucidate to those that will listen, that the Longusian Tradition could not be further in quintessence and texture from the Sutterian Frankenstein's Monster that is the O9A.

### The Skeleton in the Wall: Project Polaris

Beginning with yet another piece of evidence that implicates Chloe, as such an implication pertains to intimate knowledge of Sutter's sexual exploitation of children, we find an email chain titled Project Polaris, replete with a sign-off from Chloe and endorsement from Sutter (Jack McLeod) for the instillation of a new outer representative named Jall.

*PDF for the email chain can be found on 9AO.org imbedded within this same article.*

For the unaware, Project Polaris was also the name for a website which featured indecent images of children with a certain 'uncomfortable suggestiveness'. The Archive link will be provided as evidence but viewer discretion is heavily advised due to the nature of the content.

<https://web.archive.org/web/20140130202150/http://projectpolaris.net/>

Also provided is the website data for Project Polaris' active periods, which reveals a large gap between when the website domain was bought and shelved using a [dot] net extension (2011) and when it began publishing content (2014) once it switched to a [dot] org extension. The date of March 2014 is cardinal because the email chain titled Project Polaris is from February 2014, revealing that Jall was elected as outer representative by Chloe, and endorsed by Sutter, just a month prior to the posting of content from the Project Polaris website.



*Screenshot of the archived Project Polaris website indicating its date of activation*

## Whisperers of ONA 1.0 (pre-internet/ONA)

In an article published on [theo9away.wordpress.com](https://theo9away.wordpress.com) titled: The Metaphorical O9A, the ‘old guard’ disclosed a familiar statement regarding the nature of what they term ‘ONA 1.0’.

*“Crucially, ONA 1.0 was devoid of satanism, theological and ritualized; there was no Labyrinthos Mythologicus with its tests, japes, and misdirections; no dialectical engagement in the world by means of Insight Roles, or through politics or otherwise; and no support for whatever antinomian reason of National Socialism.”*

*- The Metaphorical O9A*

<https://theo9away.wordpress.com/2024/08/18/ona-1-0-and-ona-2-0/>

This statement is familiar because it is essentially a reworded explanation for the Rounwytha Tradition written by Anton Long in *The Rounwytha Way in History and Modern Context*.

*“The Rouning tradition was and is one centred on certain gifts, certain skills, and is distinct in many ways, for instance:*

- i) There are no named deities or divinities or ‘spirits’. No ‘gods’, no ‘goddess’. No demons.*
- ii) There are no spells or conjurations or spoken charms or curses; no ‘secret scripts’ and no ‘secret teachings’; indeed no teachings at all.*
- iii) There are no ‘secret book(s)’ or manuscripts; indeed, there are no writings.*
- iv) There are no ritual or Occult or ‘wiccan’ or ‘satanist’ elements at all.*
- v) There is no calendar, as calendars are usually understood, and thus no set dates/times for festivities or commemorations.*
- vi) There are no oaths made, no pledges written or said.*
- vii) There is no organization, no dogma, no codification of beliefs, no leader(s), no hierarchy, and no stages or grades of ‘attainment’.”*

*- The Rounwytha Way in History and Modern Context*

Yet, what does this correlation reveal? It reveals to those capable of deductive reasoning that what is termed ONA 1.0 was actually the Camlad Rounwytha Tradition in totality, sans ‘satanism’, sans politics, sans societal engagement and so on as stated. The reason why the ‘old guard’ didn’t outright state that ONA 1.0 was the outer shell for the Rounwytha Tradition is something only they know, but given their propensity for a ‘shadowy guiding hand’ rather than clear instruction, it could be posited that learning this now apparent correlation between ONA 1.0 and the Rounwytha Tradition was part of the quest for Lapis Philosophicus. Regardless, it is highly challenging, even disingenuous, to deny the thesis of ONA 1.0 equaling the Camlad Rouning, at least until such a time that the ‘old guard’ provide an antithesis so that we may arrive at the synthesis of truth via the Hegelian dialectic. Thus, the dialogue of opposites should – for now – rightly be “The Rounwtha Tradition and O9A” (or Rounwytha vs O9A), not “ONA 1.0 and ONA 2.0 (O9A)”. This new suggested distinction removes a lot of confusion and conflated presuppositions, which will assist all with attaining the truth should they desire to dis-cover it.

*Note: One crucial point to note is that Anton Long inherited the Rounwytha Tradition, thus the designation of the ‘Longusian Tradition’ as a representative of a revitalised and growing ‘ONA 1.0’ is just as authentic a designation as The Rounwytha Tradition.*

ooo ooo ooo

## The O9A Going Forward

The O9A is still alive and kicking because it has no hierarchy, thus no one with the authority to put it out of its misery. With concerns to the Niners debating whether they should take control of the O9A situation: more power to them. They have the authority to take control because there is no inherent authority. In conclusion, we wish Interzone and the Niners all the best with their alchemical crusade to transmute the O9A (1998-present) into something numinous, honourable and interesting.

- T. Roper

### References:

*Beest, Christos. Terfyniad. 1998 eh*

*Williams, Grant. Order of The Deorc Fyre – Overview. Sndl.co.uk (n.d.)*

*Erda (n.d.)*

*Temple of Them. Responsibility to the Responsible: An Open Letter to Nero of the Noctulian Blood Covenant. 2023 — <https://solitarism448572678.wordpress.com/2023/10/06/resonsibility-to-the-responsible-an-open-letter-to-nero-of-the-noctulian-blood-covenant/>*

*O9A. ONA Outer Representative (Email). 2014.*





*Ruins of the Temple of Mithra. Carrowburgh, England.*

## **Test of the Crown**

Ver: 1.2

### **Introduction to The Crown Test**

In the Mithraic Mystery Schools, an initiate — once the grade of *Miles* was attained — would be tested by being offered a crown (*Cumont, 1913*). The test was to determine worthiness and whether or not the initiate was a threat to the true bearer of the crown. On a slightly more esoteric level, it was a means of deciphering if the initiate was without hubris (ὑβρις). It was believed that if the initiate had not already begun the *Solve* of the ego, then they would be incapable of *Coagula* before the throne of Mithras.

### **The Crown Test of Outer Representative**

The crown test is prevalent in many secret societies and especially noticeable in the Order of Nine Angles. However, the ‘crown’ used by ONA is symbolised as the position of Outer Representative.

The test or ‘jape’ of Outer Representative is implemented to determine the very same attributes and traits that the Cult of Mithras did. Can it be therefore said that anyone who accepted the position of Outer Representative failed to initiate into the mysteries of the Order of Nine Angles?

When we look at the list of Outer Representatives over the years we can see that this is somewhat plausible, but what if the crown had a duration test attached to it in addition? Christos Beast accepted the crown, yet saw through it not too long after, suggesting that he passed the test. Thornian was then offered the crown, accepted it and held onto it for a long duration, never having reported seeing through the ruse.

Chloe was also offered the crown, accepted it (*Xeno and Ortega, 2013*) and held onto it for a significant period of time, suggesting that she could have failed the test [1], in turn suggesting that such a failure contributed to the Old Guard abandoning her. However, seeing as the Old Guard had established a behavioural pattern concerned with moving on from the Order by that time, such a theory is equivocally unlikely as it is likely.

Next in line to possess the crown was Jall, who held it for a generous period of time just like Chloe and Thornian. Then, it was Nameless Therein, who accepted the crown, only to resign his position later due to a conflict of interest (*Therein, 2023*), but did he pass or fail? An analysis of the manner in which he later rejected the crown would suggest the latter due to his choice to pursue personal projects instead, which could be viewed as hamartia (ἁμαρτία).

Note: Prior to Nameless Therein, there was the case of Beast Xeno. who was offered the position of Outer Representative, but refused it, instead choosing to vouch for someone else who he believed was a worthy candidate, thereby avoiding the trap of ὕβρις and consequently ἀμαρτία.

Finally, there is the curious case of one Ryan Anschauung. When Ryan was contacted by Dark Logos (*Anschauung and Logos, 2009*) he asked Ryan the infamous Adept Questions, to which Ryan responded honestly, in the sense that he did not know the answers. Dark Logos responded in a manner similar to the words of Socrates: “The only true wisdom is in knowing you know nothing”. Most importantly, Ryan’s admitting of his lack of knowledge (at the time) was the exact opposite of ὕβρις, thus preventing ἀμαρτία, which in the eyes of the Mystery Schools of Mithras would have been a positive point.

Equating the role of Outer Representative to the crown test once used by the Cult of Mithras certainly reveals a different perspective on several events and incidents of significance within the ONA and O9A that took place. Yet, it could be said that this is all a false equivalency, but given that the Cult of Mithras also utilised the seven sphere planets in their mythos, what can be deduced?

“Nymphus (Male Bride), Miles (Soldier), Leo (Lion), Peres (Persian), Heliodromus (Sun-Runner), and Pater (Father); each respective grade protected by Mercury, Venus, Mars, Jupiter, the Moon, the Sun, and Saturn.”

- Franz Cumont, *Les Mystères de Mithra, The Rites of Mithraic Initiation*



#### **Addendum:**

#### **The Great Discrepancy**

Curiously, Ryan first became a student of Christos Beest in 2004 — who by then had succeeded Anton Long’s position (*Beest, 2000*) — and was initiated into the mysteries he kept. Why then, was Ryan re-tested by another, albeit alleged member of the Old Guard; moreover, why did that later lead to his ostracisation by O9A, TOB and WSA when as stated by Chloe in an inner O9A email to Scott Liddell and others, Ryan was one of five (number three to be exact) persons whom she believed had “risen to the top” (*Ortega and Liddell, et al.*)?

An even more intriguing question is why – when Dark Logos (confirmed by Chloe to be Old Guard) asked the Adept Questions of Ryan in 2009 – did Chloe still regard Ryan in such high regard in 2013, and why did it take the “Inner ONA” five years to conclude that Ryan was a “charlatan” (*Boyle, 2014*) and publish that conclusion when the Adept Questions are supposed to determine who is and isn’t a charlatan on the spot? Occam’s razor would conclude that internal, personal politicking was behind Ryan’s ostracisation, not his exchange with Dark Logos, not his inability to answer the Adept Questions adequately or any other claim made by JB (June Boyle), as it would not have taken five years for Ryan to be ostracised for those given reasons. Therefore, Ryan’s inviting to the Facebook group where he was questioned was nothing but a show trial, for the verdict had already been decided for five years [2].

There is another, more damning piece of evidence that corroborates the show trial theory. There were a few versions of Ryan Anschauung’s recorded character assassination, but one in particular — accompanied by screenshots from the Facebook conversation — states that Ryan Anschauung was invited to the conversation by convicted child abuser Ryan Fleming, unbeknownst to Ryan Anschauung at the time (*O9A, n.d.*).

It has been suggested that “JB” (June Boyle) is a *nome de plume* for David Myatt, but the fact that Ryan Fleming was in very close proximity to June Boyle, as indicated by June instructing Fleming to lure Ryan Anschauung into the trap, reveals that June Boyle is not David Myatt or the Old Guard, or even associated to them because as has been made clear by the ONA (not O9A) in many manuscripts, child abusers are to be culled and most certainly not associated with.

This is concerning because the MS *An O9A Education* is hosted on o9a.org and clearly served as a first draft for JB’s updated MS, culminating in the reveal — when taken into account with all prior evidence on this matter — that the trial of Ryan Anschauung was an utter sham conducted by the Sutterian O9A, instead of the priorly-believed ONA. Thus, Ryan has been unjustly persecuted for ten years by practitioners and influential nymms of the sinister tradition simply because of one or more of the following reasons:

1) everyone but a select few — ABG Lodge and Beast Xeno most notably — lacked the intelligence and empathy to conclude that Ryan was set up; 2) no one cared; 3) it was in the best interest of those influential few to see Ryan’s reputation tarnished. [3]

As was recorded in 2012, via an email between Beast Xeno and Chloe Ortega, the means of determining who was “ONA” [4] and associated with them was by way of possessing two autobiographies posited [5] to have been written by the founders of the ONA (*Xeno and Ortega, 2012*). Upon studying the email [6], which contained an attachment of Myndsquilver and the other autobiography, two things are made clear:

1) The Adept Questions were no longer in use by 2012 as a means of determining who was “Inner ONA” and connected to them because at that point the means of determining such a thing was by asking those who claimed to be so questions from the two autobiographies, as stated by Chloe.

Note regarding (1): At some point after 2013, the Adept Questions were once again chosen as a means of determining who was “Inner ONA” and associated with them, replacing the previous method involving the two autobiographies.

2) Ryan Anschauung was viewed as a close associate of the ONA despite allegedly not possessing the two autobiographies. However, in an email received from the account of Richard John Moulton, Ryan was asked to pass on an updated, final version of Myndsquilver to Chloe (*Anschauung and Moulton, 2013*), indicating that Ryan did indeed possess the first version and for all intents and purposes was thus a close associate, or “underling” of the “Inner ONA”. If this was not the case, Chloe would have been the “underling” that Richard passed the final version of Myndsquilver to, not Ryan.

Again, and most pertinently, Ryan was the only one who had contact with Richard according to that email. When this is put into perspective with the ways of esoteric tutorship in the Sinister Tradition — how teaching is performed on a one-to-one basis — the truth of whether or not Ryan passed the crown test becomes ever more apparent, as does the truth concerning those whom did not. The practical joke of the Old Guard’s Pythagorean Cup (Outer Representative) reveals those who poured too much wine and then ruined their togas as consequence of doing so. Q.E.D.

- Nine Neighbours  
2024 e.v.

Footnotes:

{1} Regardless of whether Chloe failed the crown test or not, the one thing that cannot be denied is her contribution to the Sinister Tradition. Chloe remains a talented, intelligent and innovative woman of the sinister feminine archetype in spite of what may or may not have happened, unlikely to be forgotten any time soon. Her undervalued Nexion Zines can be found here for posterity: <https://archive.org/search?query=Nexion+Zine>

{2} When Ryan was asked the Adept Questions by Dark Logos in 2009, it would have been made clear from Ryan's responses that he was not an ONA Adept. The fact that Ryan was asked the questions again later, communicates that "JB" was not connected to, nor did not know, Dark Logos, because it would have been mentioned to JB by Dark Logos that Ryan was not an ONA Adept, and thus the Facebook interrogation would have been superfluous. This further supports that Ryan was: (a) set-up to partake in a show trial, and (b) was the victim of a personal attack in order to discredit him for some personal goal of another.

{3} Circa 2014 Ryan Anschauung was vocal about his opposition to the O9A's influential few supporting CSA (child sexual abuse) and his suspicions about F.B.I infiltration [testimony provided by Ryan Anschauung, 2024]. Understanding now that Ryan's character assassination was conducted with the assistance of convicted CSA offender Ryan Fleming, it is highly probable that Ryan Anschauung, who was in a position of influence, could have jeopardised the psychological operation and sting operation (O9A) that was being conducted by Joshua Sutter and the F.B.I.

{4} Regarding *A Brief Hello*: (references 2 and 8): Chloe remarks on how the Authority of Individual Judgement is an invention of ONA, yet the authors of O9A Way – believed to be Old Guard – state that it was a product of "ONA 2.0" (O9A/Omega 9 Alpha) not "ONA 1.0" (ONA/Order of Nine Angles):  
<https://theo9away.wordpress.com/2024/08/18/ona-1-0-and-ona-2-0/>

{5} Regarding *A Brief Hello*: within the email Chloe states, "what is written and said in public - especially online - just can't be trusted, especially if it is about a person we may not have any real life connection to and knowledge of." Holding this in mind, the two autobiographies could have been fabricated by persons who were not the "founders of the ONA". Conversely, the Adept Questions – when reintroduced after 2013 (questions that have now be changed and have increased in number to 13) – could also be a jape, as to quote Chloe once more, "what is written and said in public - especially online - just can't be trusted". Thus, with this admission, there is a 50% probability that because both autobiographies were leaked online they are falsifications, and a 50% probability that the Adept Questions, since being made public, are a jape.

{6} Regarding *A Brief Hello*: the email has been redacted in places to protect information pertaining to Chloe's private, 'non-business' affairs, and other persons she references in confidence.

#### References:

- (1) Cumont, Franz. *Les Mystères de Mithra*. 1913.  
[https://www.caiss-soas.com/CAIS/Religions/iranian/Mithraism/mithraism\\_and\\_christianity.htm](https://www.caiss-soas.com/CAIS/Religions/iranian/Mithraism/mithraism_and_christianity.htm)
- (2) Xeno, Beast; Ortega, Chloe. *A Brief Hello*. 2012.
- (3) Therein, Nameless. *Conspectus*. o9a.org. 2023.  
<https://web.archive.org/web/20230329092534/http://o9a.org/>
- (4) Anschauung, Ryan; Logos, Dark. *Recreation of the Dark Logos Email Reference Loop*. 2009.
- (5) Ortega, Chloe; Liddell, Scott, et al. *Omega and the Alpha*. 2013
- (6) June, Boyle (JB). *Ryan Anschaaung and His Temple of Them: The Amusing Case of Aussie Alex*. 2014.  
<http://www.o9a.org/wp-content/uploads/the-la-la-temple-v4.pdf>
- (7) Beest, Christos. *An Inheritance*. ONA. 2000.
- (8) O9A. *An O9A Education*. O9A.org. N.d.  
<https://www.o9a.org/wp-content/uploads/an-o9a-education.pdf>
- (9) Anschauung, Ryan; Moulton, Richard. *Photograph of the Myndsquilver Email*. 2013.

*Links not included in the references section of this document can be found under the article of the same name, currently hosted on 9ao.org.*

---

## Afterword


The discourse regarding the importance of distinguishing ONA/Order of Nine Angles from O9A/Omega 9 Alpha has been met by one or two sinister ‘influencers’ with an opposing view, which is somewhat expected given that they stand to lose profit should it become the general consensus that O9A and ONA are separate entities and that the ONA is in fact something that you cannot simply claim a connection to without being physically involved in it.


The distinction between O9A and ONA is of the utmost importance for three reasons:

(1) It has been proven time and time again that the O9A was – and is – under the influence of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The Authority of Individual Judgement, or ‘no limits evil’, as Sutter called it, was likely put in place to allow agent provocateurs to entrap people with much more ease than they would be able to if the O9A had a system of ethics that was enforced by a governing entity. Sans a system of ethics, sans the ability enforce them, and sans the need for people to be traditionally initiated, agents and confidential informants can easily pass themselves off as an authority on the sinister tradition by using monikers, terms and references to it without being challenged or held accountable by an official party.

(2) The O9A would be nothing without the 21<sup>st</sup> century ONA texts providing a now disputed legitimacy and legacy for the O9A. Should it become general discourse that the ONA and O9A are not the same entity, with the Order of Nine Angles being British – European at most – and Omega 9 Alpha being American, the F.B.I would find it incredibly difficult to infiltrate and subvert the ONA once its undercover agents were confirmed to be Americans without a transcontinental task force dedicated to the infiltration objective, which would take a lot of interdepartmental communication, international jurisdictional power games, and resources, which is a significant bureaucratic headache that a singular agency would not take on.

(3) How can we call ourselves alchemists (those dedicated to uncovering the *lapis philosophicus*) if we are not willing to strive for the grand truth that is obfuscated by the ego? In this pursuit we must use every faculty we have at our disposal: reason, empathy, logic – to follow the golden thread to the source. This includes uncovering the truth about the ONA and O9A, for the ONA’s Septenary system and its traditional satanic mythos/praxis is what grants us the means to achieve that magnum opus. Oppositionally, the O9A is what obfuscates those means, and not for any alchemical reason, but instead to assist the causal, magian abstraction that is the United States federal government.





## Veritas in Spiritu

“How do the Nine Angles relate to Azal, Dhar and Zamal, and what Earth-bound (causal) form (structure/construct) is used to symbolize this?”

- One of the infamous ONA Adept Questions

“One Possible Answer: Daar ul-Islam is one possible form (literally: the realms of Islam)... A causal construct used to manifest some-thing beyond the causal (i.e. a-causal). A Khilafah – led by a Khalifah (a leader, or chief) – is one type of such a causal construct; an Earth-based Imperium, which correctly led and correctly developed, can be the basis for a Galactic khilafah/imperium. Thus, such a construct symbolizes the animation of the nine angles by acausal energy – a means whereby acausal energies (that which animates and makes alive) become presenced among humans. Such a Khilafah animates human beings (especially mundanes) to make them a means to what is beyond them.

Azal, Dhar and Zamal are Arabic terms used by classical Islamic philosophy (and Islamic alchemy) and refer to aspects of Time (both causal and acausal). The nine angles relate to these Time aspects because, when animated in certain ways, what the “nine angles” are (or can be) are conduits/nexions and/or a collocation of Space-Time metrics which allow the presencing of acausal energies.

Note the words: (1) realms of Islam (plural), for these extend over what are now described as many “nations” (i.e. many realms); (2) “one type of such a causal construct”, for there are other possibilities, beyond the form that is Islam; (3) Khalifah – leader; the person who establishes a new Khilafah will be quite similar to Vindex, since a Khilafah is established, and maintained, through Jihad. To bring-into-being what has been termed The Galactic Imperium (aka The Dark Imperium aka the exoteric causal form of the new sinister Aeon) several causal constructs or forms can be utilized or manufactured.

One aim of the esoteric (inner) ONA is to aid, support and if necessary manufacture all the possible causal forms that can be utilized or manufactured to achieve our goals. This will be done until one form – utilized, aided or manufactured by us – triumphs, and thus wins out in the process of evolution (exoterically, achieves success by survival of the fittest) after which we shall concentrate our resources on that successful form of ours. Thus, we are being practical, pragmatic, and sinister: using whatever means and forms we can to presence the acausal and to bring-into-being what aids our esoteric aims; and also attacking the Old Order on many fronts by various means (and various tactics) until we achieve a practical breakthrough in one or more areas. This is the strategy, and the tactics, of a practical war – which is what we are fighting.

A Khilafah is just one such form, one such causal construct which has the potential to at some future time bring-into-being The Galactic Imperium; one particular form whose exoteric mythos already exists, and which form is already being fought for and supported, on the practical level, by many of those “not of us” and by “a few who are of us”. Another such form is the emergence of a new supra-tribal form, deriving from the mythos of Vindex, and in which the sinister tribes of the ONA form the initial basis, the origin. This form is currently in the process of being manufactured, and of having acausal energies generated (by various esoteric means) to aid, sustain and expand it.

There are some other forms. But what all the esoteric-supported forms have in common is that they all presence, can presence, or will presence, an important aspect of the numinous – to wit, the practical way of the warrior, as manifest, for example by the Japanese Samurai, the Waffen-SS and, more recently, by the Taliban, and also by successful and large urban gangs. Indeed, all these numinous forms – supported by sinister groups such as ours because they have the potential to achieve our aims – make the warrior way an essential part of their exoteric and esoteric ethos, and thus manifest a martial spirit; a spirit, an ethos, where the individual warrior is seen as the individual ideal and where the warrior places their duty, their loyalty, their honour, before their own life, and where combat is seen as necessary and healthy and is used as a means to achieve goals.

This is why, for instance, none of our esoteric kind could or would support something as un-numinous as the “New World Order” led by Amerika, for this ethos of this new empire is materialistic; the goals are fundamentally capitalistic and un-evolutionary; and the individual “ideal” is the mundane, Homo Hubris – the contented wage or salary slave. That is, the ethos of this NWO is Magian, not ours, and can never be made ours.”

- Nexion Zero  
Order of Nine Angles  
119 Year of Fayen

\* \* \*

“How do the Nine Angles relate to In Time, Against Time and Above Time, and what Earth-bound (causal) form (structure/construct) is used to symbolise this?

One Possible Answer: Lebensraum is one possible form (taking of territory to ensure the survival and expansion of the Germanic ethnicities)... A causal construct used to manifest some-thing beyond the causal (i.e. a-causal). A Reich – led by a Fuhrer (a leader, or chief) – is one type of such a causal construct; an Earth-based Imperium, which correctly led and correctly developed, can be the basis for a Galactic Lebensraum/imperium. Thus, such a construct symbolises the animation of the nine angles by acausal energy – a means whereby acausal energies (that which animates and makes alive) become presented among humans. Such a Lebensraum animates human beings (especially mundanes) to make them a means to what is beyond them.

In, Against and Above are terms used by Esoteric National Socialism (*Devi, 1958*) and refer to aspects of Time (both causal and acausal). The nine angles relate to these Time aspects because, when animated in certain ways, what the “nine angles” are (or can be) are conduits/nexions and/or a collocation of Space-Time metrics which allow the presencing of acausal energies.”

- Tom, Dick and Harry  
Order of Nine Angles (super secret Oxford nexion)  
2024 e.n

\* \* \*

As has been demonstrated above using a whimsical example, the “Adept Question” in question is open to interpretation, as evidenced by: (1) “one possible answer” (*Zero, 119yf*); (2) “several causal constructs or forms can be utilized or manufactured” (*Zero, 119yf*); (3) “Khilafah is just one such form, one such causal construct” (*Zero, 119yf*); (4) and how any concept with a supporting, observable Caesarist structure can easily be swapped out (eg. Lebensraum for Khilafah).

On the other hand, the Adept Questions have been stated by Dark Logos to have definitive answers (*Logos & Anschauung, 2009*):

“...they are genuine questions, which have genuine answers”.

A genuine answer is not something that can be interpreted via “one POSSIBLE answer” because if the question is genuine then it has no POSSIBLE answer, it only has a definitive answer (or answers), especially when such answers to such questions are partly — to quote Dark Logos — “to reveal the pretenders, the charlatans, for what they are”; in other words, a code to determine who is an ONA Adept, but more specifically who is a ‘member’ or associated with the so-called “Inner ONA”.

This system of ‘vetting’ only works if there are definitive answers to ‘verification questions’. For example, a spy makes contact with another spy using a verification which is two-fold: (1) the sender asks a question or speaks a phrase; (2) the receiver answers the question or responds to the phrase with the correct word(s). If there is one iota of deviation in the response, one misplaced word, the verification fails and the receiver is exposed as a charlatan.

Translating this to the Adept Questions, which as revealed by Dark Logos, serve — in one part — exactly the same purpose, reveals that the aforesaid postulation regarding a POSSIBLE answer to not only be illogical but also impractical and thus speaks to a suspicious gap in association between the Old Guard, represented by Dark Logos in this case, and the “Old Guard“, represented by “Nexion Zero”, indicating that there is no association between Dark Logos and Nexion Zero. This means that “Nexion Zero” (believed to be another name for an ‘Old Guard’ nexion) is either disingenuous or Dark Logos is. However, the science behind the previously mentioned spy verification and the fact that Dark Logos uses English spelling and “Nexion Zero” uses American — which could be an act of Shrencing, but doubtful given the sheer volume of ‘ONA’ and O9A texts using American grammar (some even authored by ‘Anton Long’) — greatly supports the former.

This is but one question and “Nexion Zero” commentary that has been put under scrutiny. However, why is it that most of the so-called ‘extended’ Adept Questions concern themselves with Middle-Eastern esotericism when the ONA is a tradition that originated from England and Wales? It could be that — if it is believed that David Myatt was once Anton Long — Mr. Myatt’s Islamic conversion influenced the inner shape of the ONA but there’s another explanation, one that is more plausible.

“The Order of Nine Angles (O9A, ONA) was killed by the ‘black propaganda’ {1} produced by paid FBI asset Joshua Sutter {2} who spread that propaganda in person, by means of his fake O9A chapter, the so-called Tempel ov Blood, by his FBI supported infiltration of the neo-nazi group AtomWaffen, {2} and by books such as the vile ‘Iron Gates’ published by his Martinet Press, many of which books, such as The Devil’s Quran, were written by authors contracted and paid by the FBI such as the University Professor, fluent in Arabic, who wrote The Devil’s Quran.”

- Haereticus The Younger (Seven Oxonians), Why the O9A is Dead and Buried

[1]

For those that missed it:

“...such as The Devil’s Quran, were written by authors contracted and paid by the FBI such as the **University Professor, fluent in Arabic**, who wrote The Devil’s Quran.”

Is it thus more plausible that “David Myatt” and the British ‘Old Guard’ suddenly developed a penchant for using American grammar and decided to extend the Adept Questions, or that the Adept Questions were extended under similar conditions as The Devil’s Quran (i.e., with the FBI implicit)?

We are now left with an important question: what purpose do the Adept Questions, which have been increased in number post Dark Logos, currently circulating now serve? Because they evidently do not serve to verify who is an ONA Adept.

[The extended questions were never part of the original Adept Questions; and as such, those whom answered the original questions correctly did not answer the extended questions because they didn’t exist at the time, which according to those whom perpetuate and ask the Adept Questions currently,



would mean that those Adepts that did answer the original Adept Questions correctly would be considered charlatans as a result of being unable to answer all of the questions as they are now, which is ludicrous.]

### Afterword: Regarding The Presentation in “The Test of the Crown”

In our previous article (The Test of the Crown) we deliberately changed the presentation of the article to resemble something close to the 2008-2019 O9A manuscripts (grey background, red writing etc.) in a bid to demonstrate how aesthetics (and other things such as names, dates, terms, pictures) can be used to manipulate people into believing the authenticity of a mirror entity (O9A/Omega Nine Alpha) that actually “meme-jacked” [2] the genuine entity (ONA/Order of Nine Angles).

The Nine Neighbours are not the American O9A and thus the presentation of the last article that subliminally suggested we were was an act of deception for the purpose of illustrating the effectiveness of such subtle deceptions.

- Nine Neighbours  
2024 ev

#### Footnotes:

[1] There are two errors of recollection or research committed by Haereticus The Younger (Seven Oxonians): (a) Tempel ov Blood did not belong to Joshua Sutter, but Blood Lord Karnac Velton, as ToB was founded by BLKV (who was also a member of Temple of Azagthoth along with Sutter) while Sutter was incarcerated (*Nirrta*, 2024); (b) Temple ov Blood emerged in 2004 (*Velton*, 2004), prior to the existence of O9A (Omega Nine Alpha), a name which we could not find any documented record of usage for prior to January 2009, which was found on the defunct nineangles.info website created in 2008.


<https://web.archive.org/web/20090124120803/http://nineangles.info/>

Therefore, until such a time as we do, Tempel ov Blood cannot possibly be considered a “fake O9A chapter” because it came before O9A.

[2] “Meme-jacking” (in this case) refers to the copy-pasting of an original entity and its work to an imitation entity, and the subsequent editing of works of that original entity, as well as falsely building upon on the original entity’s mythology, to hide the fact that the imitation (mirror/copy) entity has replaced the original entity. One example of a “meme-jacking” act is to take an original text, change the language of the text in places where most will not notice (such as spelling and punctuation) and then rerelease that text as an “updated version” once copies of the original text have been made hard to find or scrubbed from the public domain entirely.

#### References:

- (1) Nexion Zero. *ONA Esoteric Notes: Azal, Dhar, Zamal, and Acausal Time*. 119yf.
- (2) Devi, Savitri. *Lightning and the Sun*. 1958.
- (3) Anschauung, Ryan; Logos, Dark. *Recreation of the Dark Logos Email Reference Loop*. 2009
- (4) Seven Oxonians. *Why The O9A Is Dead And Buried*. 2023.  
<https://sevenoxonians.wordpress.com/2024/10/27/o9a-dead-and-buried/>
- (5) Blood Lord Karnac Velton. Email to Czar Azag-Kala. 2004.
- (6) Nirrta, Tyrant. Weltfeind. *The History of TOBV & its Chronicle of Succession, Tempel ov Blood*. 2024.  
<https://cultofabhartach.ca/> (under “Weltfeind”).



*Final testament of David Myatt (04/03/2023)*

## **Lest You Forget**

### Introductory Shock to the System:

For an extensive period of time we have likely all believed that the Seven Oxonians and O9A Way are what has been termed “Old Guard”. The reasons for this are as such:

- The name Seven Oxonians firmly grounds the Hebdonian Way in England, complimenting the Oxonian mythology surrounding the early ONA; and the tagline of the O9A Way (“Exposing the O9A”) suggests an intimate knowing of it in order to be able to ‘expose’ it, as logic would dictate.
- Articles are published under the “0” tag (to suggest nexion zero/old guard) and by “Lianna”, a name synonymous with the old guard and therefore another suggestion of authenticity.
- Frequent citations of, and references to, David Myatt.
- Frequent use of paintings by Richard Moulton as pictorial headers for articles.

If we elect to not look closer everything looks legitimate, but if we choose to look closer, the facade begins to fall apart for the astute observer for these reasons:

- (1) the vast majority of Seven Oxonian and O9A Way articles use americanised spelling [1], which is an error an educated person who was raised in the British Isles and is still living here would not make, let alone educated Oxonians who pride themselves on using correct spelling and grammar.
- (2) the vast majority of articles written by Seven Oxonians and O9A Way are reworded, restructured, recited, from old ONA texts and David Myatt’s past writings and interviews. If closer inspection is given, they have produced nothing new, save the odd repost of new Greek and Arabic writings allegedly produced by David Myatt, but could have very easily been produced by that same academic the FBI employed for the writing of *Devil’s Quran*.

(3) The obscure alchemical images both the Hebdomian Way and O9A Way repeatedly use for articles are exact copies from an issue of Chloe's personal Zine (Ortega, 2021).




Image from Seven Oxonians, "Star Game 101" article




Image from Chloe's Nexion Zine 8.1




Image from Seven Oxonians, "Naos and Satan" article




Image from Chloe's Nexion Zine 8.1




Image from Seven Oxonians, "A Modern Hermetic Praxis" article



Image from Chloe's Nexion Zine 8.1

## A Note On Noesis In Tractate 13

زحل المشتري المريخ الشمس الزهرة عطارد القمر  
 ♄ ♃ ♂ ☉ ♋ ♌ ♍

Septenary System: Ghayat al-hakim

Reposted from the SevenOxonians blog:

Image from O9A Way,  
 “A Note On Noesis in Tractate 13” article

Saturni	Iovis	Martis	Solis	Veneris	Mercurii	Lune
♄	♃	♂	☉	♀	♁	☾

The illustration is from a copy of the Latin text known as the Picatrix and which Latin texts date from c.1300 - c.1459 ev, with a manuscript in the British Library known as Sloane MS 3679 providing a useful summary of the text, a table of contents, and a listing of the seven planets.

The Latin Picatrix derives from a Spanish translation of an earlier Arabic text known as Ghayat al-hakim (c.1050 ev) where the seven planets are symbolized thus:

♄ ♃ ♂ ☉ ♋ ♌ ♍

The seven stages were symbolized in various ways in later texts, such as in the book *Azoth Sive Aureliae Occultae Philosophorum* published in 1613 ev which included the following illustration using planetary symbols still in use:

Image from Chloe’s Nexion Zine 8.1

These three points bring another question into perspective: was the now-departed Thormynd old guard?

Seeing as Thormynd promoted O9A Way and Seven Oxonians, and propagated the reference loop trap of “primary sources”, Occam’s razor favours that he/she wasn’t, and certainly wasn’t David Myatt like the independent field journalist Jake Hanrahan and many others posited.

What is worth remembering — for those that do — is that Angonys Point Press (JCS) messaged Thormynd publicly with the exclamation, “Davey Myatt!” The question is why would he do this if not for the reason of trying to convince people that Thormynd was David Myatt and not him or one of his coterie? If (1) people believed that Thormynd was David Myatt, and (2) also believed that David Myatt was once Anton Long, then the impression of legitimacy from anything said, reposted, or endorsed by Thormynd would be immediately perceived as authentic, establishing a very clever Appeal to Authority fallacy.

**Could it be said that the greatest trick the Seven Oxonians ever pulled was convincing the world that Thormynd was David Myatt?**

*Note: There were doubts regarding Thormynd when we began investigating him/her. Firstly, it was noted that the lexicon used by Thormynd in some public but mostly private correspondence was that of the millennial generation, not the generation David Myatt belongs to; furthermore, the same americanisms were present in his/her grammar, indicating that Thormynd was indeed from America, like his/her profile stated, and not an attempt by David Myatt to disguise his location.*

As mentioned previously, O9A Way and Hebdomian Way were both endorsed by Thormynd as well as “David Myatt’s” website. Should the aforementioned theory be taken seriously, a network of false authenticity was created through four nodes: (1) O9A Way; (2) Hebdomian Way; (3) Thormynd; (4) “David Myatt”.

**thormynd** @thormynd15 · Aug 25 ...  
 The Rounwytha Way In History and Modern Context: [archive.org/details/rounwy...](https://archive.org/details/rounwy...)

🗨️ 🔄 ❤️ 9 📊 256 📌 📤

Link: <https://theo9away.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/rounwytha-context.pdf>

**thormynd** @thormynd15 · Oct 1 ...  
 A compilation of mostly recent items which may be of some interest to some of those interested in hermeticism and The Hebdonian Way - [archive.org/download/hebdo...](https://archive.org/download/hebdo...)

Link: <https://sevenoxonians.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/hebdonian-way.pdf>

**thormynd** @thormynd15 · Oct 7 ...  
 FWIW, a 50-page collection of recent texts concerning academia and the Establishment orthodoxy in relation to David Myatt: [archive.org/download/dm-ac...](https://archive.org/download/dm-ac...)

As mentioned, Thormynd has since vanished and has now appeared on another social media site engaging in the same antics again under the name “oxfordian23”.

**timebanditry.bsky.social** @timebanditry.bsky.social · 11h  
 ↪ Reply to you  
 Noone is falling in your verbal traps. You hype yourself and your work and denigrate it by turns because noone else cares enough to engage at anything but a surface level.

Come back when you have a friend who likes you enough to write your book forward. Or have at least reflected on why you don't.

**timebanditry.bsky.social** @timebanditry.bsky.social · 6h  
 ↪ Reply to you  
 But you are Myatt and we both know it. The probative evidence is this conversation where you display all the verbal tics distinctive of Myatt including asking for "probative evidence" of the self evident

🗨️ 2 🔄 📌 ❤️ 1 ...

**oxfordian23.bsky.social** @oxfordian23.bsky.social · 5h  
 You do not know in the sense of having probative evidence to support your claim.

**timebanditry.bsky.social** @timebanditry.bsky.social · 6h  
 ↪ Reply to Burgercrisis  
 Honestly what David does not know, or refuses to admit, is that we are all reading a primary source documenting the truth of his identity every time he has a conversation with us that reveals his hangups and linguistic flourishes. "Are you going to engage with a primary source?"  
 \*mustache twirl\*1/2

---

**oxfordian23.bsky.social** @oxfordian23.bsky.social · 6h  
 If that's true then publish your evidence using stuff written and published by David Myatt himself. A useful overview of the matter is in items available at [concerningmyatt.wordpress.com](https://concerningmyatt.wordpress.com)

Above screenshot taken from the Seven Oxonians article “An Infectious Phantasy”  
<https://sevenoxonians.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/12/social-media-accusations.pdf>  
Also reveals another red flag website: <https://concerningmyatt.wordpress.com>

It was said by Chloe in one of her Nexion Zines that analysing the syntax is not evidence and cannot lead to any concrete conclusion, but many individuals have been caught by profilers analysing and comparing syntax (Ayuso, 2024) – the Unabomber, for instance. Thus there is a scientific and historical reference point for the legitimacy of forensic linguistics and its ability to identify people if the profiler using the method is astute enough.

#### The Game of Pseudonyms:

The O9A’s appeal to authority strategy began when the infamous email between Chloe352 and Beast Xeno leaked on the internet (Ortega & Xeno, 2013). In said email, Chloe appears to expose several of David Myatt’s nyms: Scott Liddell, Dark Logos (add more nyms). On the surface this looks like a simple falling out but conveniently also fits the same purpose as Sutter’s false callout to Thormynd; that purpose being to establish the listed pseudonyms as authentic, which could later be used to introduce the ideas of non-old guard persons with a publicly perceived stamp of officialism. Chloe claimed that David Myatt copied her ideas into his own work and never gave her credit; ideas such as clans, terms such a Drecc and Balobian.

<p>Chloe 352 &lt;chloewsa@yahoo.com&gt; Reply-to: Chloe 352 &lt;chloewsa@yahoo.com&gt; To: Terrence C. Downey &lt;tdowney@twizdedbeast.com&gt;</p> <p>Hi BX,</p> <p>You're sneaky, I didn't know you were in the ONA "backroom" where all the stupid drama happens :) There is a long story and a short story. I'll give you both, short one first.</p> <p>Short story:</p> <p>"Scott Liddle" is David Myatt. What you saw happen fast in that thread was an example of the Law of Unintended Consequences... or in other words, you saw a man shoot himself in the foot unintentionally.</p>	<p>Mon, Jun 3, 2013 at 12:03 AM</p>
---	-------------------------------------

<p>Myatt uses many personas and nyms. I'll name you all of the ones he is behind, which Ryan and Dr. Monette can verify. They are: DarkLogos, Dark Lianna, Sinister Moon, R. Parker, Julie R Wright, and PointyHat, and Scott Liddle, and a couple others in the Yahoo Group like Sat Sat. All the so called "Old Guards" are Myatt. Myatt is also the whole "Inner Circle" of the ONA. In fact, he is the ONA :) The sad part is, Julie Wright who is his "biographer" is just himself. He is his own biographer.</p>
--

*Two screenshots of Chloe claiming David Myatt is Scott Liddell (and others)*

This is, of course, quite possible, but what is equally possible is that Chloe could have written under David Myatt and deliberately incorporated those concepts and terms into his work so they could be – again – publicly officiated. If this theory holds true, this also means that by her admission that David Myatt is the “whole Inner Circle of the ONA” she also wrote under Anton Long’s name.

Another interesting email from the O9A Gmail group that supports this theory is the one in which “Scott Liddell” comments on Chloe’s leaving. The content of the commentary is mostly unimportant to the matter at hand, but what is of importance is the link to [lapisphilosophicus.wordpress.com](https://lapisphilosophicus.wordpress.com) that “Scott Liddell” provides and frames as the new official site from which to obtain ONA texts (Ortega and Liddell, 2013).

For those who are familiar with the concept of meme-jacking (*Neighbours*, 2024) and Chloe's (and JCS's) method of generating public interest in something through creating multiple fake accounts and having them interact with one another (*Ortega*, n.d.), the idea of Chloe staging a falling out between herself and another fake account that she or Sutter used (Scott Liddell) – and the further fake 'doxing' of David Myatt – in order to validate several of her and/or JCS's other fake accounts that they – and their cohorts – could later use to reshape the Order of Nine Angles in their image, is well within the realm of believability. *Beneath the Writing* details, step-by-step, Chloe's entire plan of meme-jacking the ONA as well as "Kayla's" modus operandi.


"As James was practicing his secret Nazi tantra Kayla made at least 20 fake profile who were supposed to be WSA members to psychologically surround James. She also made a gmail account for Caligula which she used very sparingly to give James kudos with."

- Chloe352, *Beneath the Writing*.

As of January 3<sup>rd</sup> 2025, it was deduced that Scott Liddell was in fact a name used by JCS. The evidence that led to the conclusion is as follows:



*Alice Liddell, friend of Lewis Carroll (1885)*



*Jack Macleod/Sutter (qv. Larvae in the O9A) using the profile picture of Alice Liddell on the Circle of Descent website (2013)*

It is an unlikely coincidence that JCS previously used Alice Liddell's image as a profile picture for an account he made on Circle of Descent four months after Chloe allegedly exposed David Myatt as Scott Liddell, almost as though Sutter was taunting David Myatt. As is general knowledge, JCS has had an

animosity toward David Myatt for some time; and what better way to exact revenge than assuming your enemy's identity and ruining their reputation?

Returning to Chloe: when speaking to some of her previously close associates, such as Beast Xeno, it was discovered that she admitted that she never progressed through the Septenary System. She never made the grade of Internal Adept, she never made the grade of External Adept, so why would David Myatt – alleged to be the elusive Grandmaster of the ONA (Anton Long) – choose her as his successor? This is not a matter of politics or race, but experience, level of initiation and knowledge. It matters not that she is allegedly part Filipino or Cambodian because this is about one's level of initiation into a mystic school. The answer is that DAVID MYATT wouldn't by default because Christos Beest succeeded Anton Long in 2000 (*qv. An Inheritance*). This – when taken onboard with the above theory of Chloe faking a doxxing – reveals to those with the intelligence to see that because Chloe lied about inheriting the ONA from David Myatt, she also very likely lied about those nym's belonging to David Myatt. After studying *Beneath the Writing*, the probability that Chloe staged the whole thing to play her part in the meme-jacking of the ONA becomes incredibly high. And since she has a history of working with Sutter, as we uncovered in the article *Larvae in the O9A*, we can begin to see how The Seven Oxonians, previously called the “Oxfordian T.W.S (The White Star)” intersects with Chloe352 and her WSA (White Star Acceptation) nexion.

The two names alone give the game away and “Rachael Stirling”, the supposed wife of “Richard Stirling” (a pseudonym once used by Christos Beest) does little to divert possibility away from the meme-jacking theory. T.W.S also published and compiled *Three Interviews with Anton Long* (<https://archive.org/details/o9a-three-interviews>) that were supposedly conducted by “Rachael Stirling”, but notice how these interviews were branded under ‘O9A’ instead of ‘ONA’, conducted by Rachael Stirling and released by T.W.S? If Anton Long identifies as O9A then O9A must be surely legitimate; and T.W.S. must be old guard or connected to them in some way; and Racheal Stirling must be old guard or connected to them in some way; and the old guard must have just changed the way Order of Nine Angles is linguistically presented, correct? That's the logically flow of conclusions, which is why this ruse worked so well for so long, but now we have a different flow of deductions to consider, backed by correlations, history and synonymous methods of operation by known and proven, untrustworthy persons.

#### The Revision of ONA history:

The Seven Oxonians and O9A Way began their conquest of revisionism by establishing the terms ONA 1.0 and ONA 2.0, with 1.0 being branded as “old guard” and 2.0 being branded as Sutter's O9A (despite Chloe's tremendous contributions to 2.0). ONA 1.0 had two key characteristics that deliberately eradicated a good portion of ONA's early history: (i) no satanism, and (ii) no authentic texts prior to 1989 except the *Deofel Quartet*.

By promoting the idea that ONA 1.0 was devoid of satanism and no legitimate texts prior to 1989 (except the one mentioned ) existed, the infamous *Codex Saerus*, as well as many other original texts such as *Emanations of Urania*, *Hangster's Gate*, *Copula Cum Demonae*, *Sacramentum Sinistrum*, *Thern*, *Raven-Made* etc., could be written out of ONA history.

---

**[Update 26/01/2025: O9A Way have retracted their statement regarding no authentic texts prior to 1989 [2] except the *Deofel Quartet* as per the article titled, *The DM=AL Phantasy*, in which they now state, “primary sources in the matter of the O.N.A are the writings of Anton Long between 1972 and 1992.” They have also started to re-adopt the use of the stylisation of “O.N.A.” after N.A.O used it most notably for the publications *Rouns of Draiocht* and *Khadhulu Scriptoriae*, as evidenced within the article *The DM=AL Phantasy*. Imitation is the sincerest form of flattery after all, hence why N.A.O welcome their change in style.]**

<https://theo9away.wordpress.com/2025/01/26/the-dmal-phantasy/>

---

Next, they introduced the concept of “primary sources” and the continued referencing of Nicholas Goodrick-Clarke and Jacob Senholt. This was a clever loop of references designed to always lead you back to Nicholas Goodrick-clarke's *The Black Sun*, which leads you to Jacob Senholt, which loops you



back around to Goodrick-Clarke. Neither has any merit because they rely on one another to be proven as evidence, and even then the “evidence” is merely a supposition of Senholt’s.

This is where the ONA investigation ends if you follow the trail to the amusing nym “Ray Bogart” (a synthesis of Raymond Chandler and Humphrey Bogart) – allegedly a real person and the Grand Master of the Temple of the Prince (alleged to be one of three pre-ONA orders), but if you follow alleged non-primary sources, ie texts that are photocopies of dated typewritten manuscripts, ONA’s history can be traced back to at least 1976 (*Long, 1976*). Furthermore, if you follow the Fraternitas Loki (FL) lead – regarded as the dark aspect of the Order of the Jarl’s of Balder (OBJ) – the Sinister Tradition can be traced back to 1968 (*Loki, 1995*). As should now be evident, the Sinister Tradition has a vast and complicated history that precedes the birth of its ‘inner expositors’ who posit that the Sinister Tradition was not embodied within a non-internet entity prior to the arrival of Chloe (*qv. WTF Just Happened?*)

Why is it exactly that O9A Way and Seven Oxonians revised ONA history? Because it was too dense, too eclectic, for them to be able to control the narrative of the Sinister Tradition without streamlining it into what they now refer to as the “Longusian Occult Tradition”; because satanism is no longer appealing to new generations as an act of rebellion due to Christianity’s loss of power over young minds; because occult secrets are readily available on the internet and can no longer be used to bribe people; because all sorts of orgiastic sex is but a swipe or click away and can thus no longer be used to seduce people.

What this all points to is the simple truth that the classic Sinister Tradition, the British ONA, is no longer useful to government agencies as a means of entrapping people because the generations of today have no patience or passion for puzzles, tests, alchemical magick systems and/or the studying of those systems. Therefore, it needed to be changed to an “open source” model, something that had a few texts but was mainly centred around adding your own ‘code’ to it. This is nothing new, nothing profound. It is just the principles of chaos magick dressed up in cyber-savvy lingo to appeal to the younger generations.

This idea is instilled in the very definitions “ONA 1.0” and “ONA 2.0”, indicating that they are versions, updates, of computer software, instead of a long-standing, orally fixed, pre-internet magick tradition that is by default immutable in its oldest aspects, as a result of inherited teachings being passed down by those whom no longer have the mortality to speak of them differently.

NB Chloe also likes to refer to the ONA as “Software”, as evidenced below.

“There are reasons why I write out what I write. I don’t just randomly write out things that is currently on my mind for the hell of it. There is a reasoning for me writing a lot on the “thinking” aspect of the ONA: it’s Software.”

- Chloe352, A New Breed

#### The David Myatt Question:

As was revealed by David Myatt himself in an interview (*Myatt and Lowles, 1998*), people have a history of running websites under his name without his permission or knowledge, which begs the question – is davidmyatt.wordpress.com even David Myatt?


“People have been putting things under my name for a long time, I mean I just give one recent example. When I only just got on the internet a couple of months ago and I found a website which was all about me. It was called David Myatt home page and it was done by somebody in Australia and it had a collection of writings, some of which were actually mine, but two of them which weren't.”

- David Myatt, Interview with Nick Lowles (1998)

Given this history of people setting up websites in David Myatt’s name; given that Thormynd – who we have established was not David Myatt – also promoted davidmyatt.wordpress.com; given that Sutter, Chloe and others have a pathological hatred for David Myatt, the probability of davidmyatt.wordpress.com and concerningmyatt.wordpress actually being operated by David Myatt is very low. This would also mean that the semi-recent Greek and Arabic writings produced under his

name were not produced by him. This leaves three suspects: (a) the professor sponsored by the FBI who wrote Devil's Quran; (b) JCS, who is apparently well-versed in middle-eastern mysticism according to former associates; and (c) a very intelligent Cambodian or Filipino woman, who is well-versed in Greek mysticism and middle-eastern mysticism.

A crucial point to note is that the Seven Oxonians and O9A Way place a "0" on their article introductions. Look who does the same...



Screenshot taken from

<https://davidmyatt.wordpress.com/2024/07/29/some-questions-for-dwm-2024/>

A very interesting possibility must now be considered with regards to David Myatt's involvement with the Order of Nine Angles. Whether or not David Myatt was involved in the ONA is something that can be argued either way up until his conversion to Islam due to the ambiguity of his past actions as a result of swearing oaths of non-disclosure. It is true that David Myatt was still involved in national socialism after his conversion, due to his involvement with Aryan Nations in the early 2000s (*Myatt and Hall, 2005*), but that does not mean he was involved in the ONA because even when he was in contact with people that were that was only for political purposes, to convert them to national socialism, as he stated in the interview with Nick Lowles.

It could be suggested that David Myatt lied about his involvement with ONA when interviewed but aside from Nick Lowles's suspicions and circumstantial evidence (such as post office boxes that he set up to disseminate his political writings and then passed on) there is no record of David Myatt being duplicitous. There is only hearsay from Chloe, who is at best unreliable at this point. Therefore, you can either accept David Myatt at his word and on his personal honour, or you can subscribe to faith instead of the scientific process we have utilised to uncover the deceit thus far, and blindly trust that David Myatt is behind everything; a 75 year-old man that is somehow fluent in millennial lingo and acronyms, computer programmer dialect, and has the time to manage more than four pseudonyms (and argue with more controlled by him), one social media account and write articles for three websites while writing dense pdfs on Greco-roman and Arabic mysticism.

Unless Myatt is a highly advanced artificial intelligence program, the answer is clear as day: David Myatt is not active within the Sinister Tradition and hasn't been since at least 1998 or the 1980s (if you take him at his word).

\* "The große Lüge (Big Lie) propaganda technique is where a lie or accusation – or several lies or accusations – about a person, or persons, or group, is or are repeated so often by so many and by various means that a large proportion of people accept the lie or lies or accusation(s) as fact even though nothing probative in support of such lies and accusations is ever presented." \*


- The O9A Way, An Analysis of the Equation DM=AL

For instance, the constant republishing and referencing of “David Myatt’s” past writings that no one has been able to prove were actually written by David Myatt, and for which the “probative evidence” offered has mostly come from articles published on websites bearing his name, email interviews bearing his name that cannot be verified, and other non-verifiable sources such as the questionable and USA-based Thormynd promoting the aforementioned.

To date, the only publicly available and verbally recorded (therefore verifiable) interview David Myatt has conducted was with Nick Lowles in 1998. Since then it has been stated on the various websites carrying David Myatt’s name that “David Myatt” will not respond to requests for guest lectures, media interviews etc, a decision that conveniently prevents David Myatt and thus his words from being verified by sight and sound.

#### An Egregious Error:

In 2024 Beast Xeno sent a series of questions to David Myatt for him to answer (<https://www.9ao.org/some-questions-for-dwm-2024/>). This was done through Thormynd, who served as a ‘middle-man’.



*Personal correspondence between Thormynd and T.C. Downey (Beast Xeno)*

Instead of following the usual pattern of asking him about his post-extremist writings, Greek writings and so-called ‘primary sources’, Beast Xeno collaborated with another associate and together they crafted questions that were deliberately designed to be short in order to yield the most information. They also contained traps to see if it was actually David Myatt responding. One key error he made was in his response to the question:

“You are certainly a man that has a passionate interest in ancient mythology, but I'm curious what you know about the mythos of King Arthur?”

To which he responded:

“While living in rural Shropshire from the late 1970s to the late 1980s I did hear some old folk tales about King Arthur and connections to that county and jotted some of them down, which scribblings I subsequently lost although I did send some of them to an artistic friend I made during the latter part of that period. The person in question apparently became so enamoured of rural South Shropshire, and such mythoi, that he subsequently settled, for a while at least, in that area.”

The error made here is that as identified via by Nick Lowles interview, Richard Moulton (“artistic friend”) lived in Shrewsbury when he moved to Shropshire, which was not in South Shropshire (when South Shropshire was a district of its own prior to 2009) because Shrewsbury is situated in the middle of Shropshire county. Surely “David Myatt” would have known that since he apparently lived in Shropshire from the “late 1970s to 1980s”? We can understand if this mistake was made during a conventional interview, but for it to be made on a response prepared days in advance is pushing beyond the boundaries of believability for an honest error.

Another secondary error made is his response to the question:

“In the article Witch of the Welsh Marches that you wrote for Lamp of Thoth you mention happening across a Cunning Woman with some interesting abilities. Could disclose more information on this event and the individual?”

To which he responded:

“Having just re-read that old item perhaps the only relevant remembering is how she was accepted by the few local, rural-dwelling, people who knew her with the terms 'witch' and 'witchcraft' and 'cunning woman' never used by them in reference to her. My use of the terms 'witch' and 'cunning woman' were thus assumptions which I naively and wrongly applied to her.”

NB:

“I was told by one of the Cunning Woman’s neighbours – who thought she had always been a little mad – that in her youth when she was fair and comely she had paralysed a young man, who had annoyed her, for several minutes just by staring at him.”

- David Myatt, Witch of the Welsh Marches

If the person who answered this question had indeed lived in Shropshire they would know that the area specific term given to a witch who is believed to be able to paralyse people by staring at them is brathwyr llygaid – “eye biters”. It is somewhat common knowledge among the cunning folk of the Welsh Marches that those who can perform this are indeed witches – powerful ones – and cannot be called anything else because of that ability. For this reason, “David Myatt’s” assumptions, which he said were wrong after the fact, were not; and if the person responding to the question under David Myatt’s name was actually David Myatt they would have known this and not walked back the claims he made in Witch of the Welsh Marches. It is likely that the responder to the questions didn’t have the knowledge to adequately answer the question about the witch because that person never met the local who spoke of her, David Myatt did.

The last secondary mistake was simply one of “David Myatt’s inability to demonstrate his knowledge of Sheffield’s history and geography. In response to the question:

“During your time in the north of England did you ever visit Stanton Moor, and if so, were there any memorable locations?”

He said this:

“No, the nearest I ever was to that particular place was over a decade later while cycling in one day from Shropshire to Fulwood near Sheffield via Buxton, Miller's Dale and Hathersage. An enjoyable if at times testing bicycle ride particularly as I had chosen to ride what cyclists of my era called a 'fixed wheel' cycle with a medium (42x16) gear, whose saving graces were the Mercian 531 frame and forks and a broken-in Brooks Professional leather saddle.”

Fulwood was previously regarded as outside of Sheffield but in the 1930s it was integrated into it as a suburb [3]. Furthermore, whichever way you choose to enter Fulwood you pass a sign on the border that says “Welcome to Sheffield” before reaching it, just like every other city/town in the United Kingdom. Therefore, Fulwood is not “near Sheffield”, it is in Sheffield. This is knowledge that anyone who has visited Fulwood after the 1930s would know and remember, especially when the person answering the question has demonstrated such a remarkable memory as to able to recall the specifications of the bicycle they used, right down to the frame and brand of saddle.


These red flags were enough to convince us that it wasn't David Myatt that was being “interviewed” – if you can even call it that – but someone else. Another thing to note is that all of the questions were answered with information that is publicly available, even to the extent of quoting previous writings and claims made by David Myatt or by “David Myatt”. Those that were not were revealed to contain false information. The information given in the answer to the question about King Arthur is available in the ONA manuscript *Arthurian Legend – According to the Sinister Tradition*, for example. Knowledge about what happened with Combat 18 is also public record and was reported on by Searchlight magazine at the time. Everything else can be gathered and reworded from past writings of David Myatt or the ONA (with ONA and its terms omitted, of course).

It is highly probable that this is the reason “David Myatt” won't comment on the ONA – because he or she posing as him cannot possibly navigate the sheer volume of ONA texts and can easily trip themselves up as a result. It is also likely the reason why “David Myatt” won't give video interviews or even telephone interviews, which is strange because in the interview David Myatt gave with Nick Lowles he mentioned how he wished people would actually approach him and ask if allegations surrounding him were true, but that no one had bothered to until Nick Lowles.

“Yes that's because people have made assumptions and people have – you know – not bothered to ask me whether in fact I am involved, and it's as simple as that. And rumours go around and people make allegations and nobody takes the time or the effort you know, unlike you – the first person in twenty years to ask these questions to me directly – nobody's actually bothered to do that. They just all assume that something is as it is not.”

- David Myatt, Nick Lowles Interview (04:09 – 04:31)


Lest we forget, David Myatt apparently posted his last testament on his website in mid 2023, where he stated in no uncertain terms that his death was immanent, and yet he was still writing and giving interviews in late 2024 when apparently “words – denotata – and their dialectic, have become irrelevant.” That submission was later deleted from davidmyatt.wordpress at an unknown time, which can only lead to two conclusions when taken into account with all of the above within this section: (a) David Myatt is writing articles and giving interviews from the acausal; (b) Someone else is writing and giving interviews under his name. Which makes the most sense?




## The Eggregore of Anton Long:

It has long been held that David Myatt had secretly been using the Anton Long nym up until it was decided by him and Chloe that Anton Long should fade into the wind (*Xeno and Ortega, 2012*) along with notions of hierarchy to be replaced by a leaderless collective and the deleterious Authority of Personal Judgement concept, which is very similar to the Process Church of the Final Judgement's Logic manuscripts (*De Grimston, 1967*). We also know that Christos Beest took up the Anton Long name in 2000 (*qv. An Inheritance*). This alone has established that Anton Long is not one person and is more akin to a title, hence the solution to the anagram that is "Anton Long": Lt Nonagon (Lieutenant of the Nine Angles).

Anton Long continued to write MSS long after Christos Beest left the ONA. According to Chloe, she inherited the ONA (*qv. A Brief Hello*), but that was determined to be a false claim once it was discovered that it would have been Christos Beest she inherited it from, not David Myatt (*cf. An Inheritance*). This means that Chloe used Anton Long's name because according to her she took over the ONA – without being chosen like she claimed – and Anton Long was still giving 'interviews' until 2021 (*qv. Three Interviews with Anton Long*); there was further evidence of a 2023 interview but that has since been conveniently deleted given that Anton Long was supposed to be gone.



The other possibility is that JCS used Anton Long's name. This would make sense given that an interview was allegedly conducted in New York, a state next door to where Anwynn Thorn of the dissolved Deathwave Nexion (Martinet Press) lives. Chloe's past proximity to JCS (*cf. Project Polaris*) would also add credence to this, as would the following screenshots from the old WSA Nexus website captured from 2008.



Someone by the name of "Sinistar666" under the classification of "OG", located in New York.

Yet testimony made by ABG Lodge during their public rejection of the "OG Inheritor's" offer after it was made through a representative a year ago, claims that Richard Moulton invited Chloe to a Sunedrion

hosted in America as Anton Long but she rejected it (ABG, 2024). Now, if that was the case that would mean the 2015 Anton Long New York interview transcript (the only American interview documented) is recording an event that never happened; it would mean the interview is bogus. In this case, we are left to ponder how many “Anton Long” interviews even happened?

Examining the 2021 interview transcript reveals something odd which we have spoken about before: Anton Long’s sudden penchant for American grammar. According to T.W.S in *Three Interviews with Anton Long*:

“The 2021 interview was conducted in person by Rachael Stirling in September in Oxford, England, and was recorded and then transcribed by her.

The interview is particularly relevant given the virulent post-2018 and ongoing campaign of lies and disinformation propagated by anti-fascists with the aim of not only discrediting the O9A but also having the British government outlaw the O9A and suppress its literature. We have added some references in the form of footnotes to elucidate certain matters and to supply a reference where Anton Long quotes from some text (courtesy of a DVD of O9A texts) or from some other item. [As with his 2015 interview Anton Long read the transcript, with the only changes made in this instance being of punctuation, of using his idiosyncratic spelling](#) - as in reflexion - and hyphenating certain terms such as agent provocateur.”

During the 2021 Oxford interview “Anton Long” responds to a question with:

“It is amusing not only because some government officials and some group as well as some anti-fascists apparently really believe or believed that the Order of Nine Angles was or is an actual [organization](#) with members and has some sort of hierarchy or at least a leader which or who has some sort of authority to confer membership...”

And then to another with:

“Which [summarizes](#) our aristocratic approach although that is not how our way has been perceived - for whatever reason or reasons - by Levey-type satanists, by Setians, by other Occultists, by academics, by journalists and by anti-fascists, who have all mistakenly grouped us with the uncouth, ignoble, "might is right" brigades.”

During the 2015 New York interview “Anton Long” responds to a question with:

“I conceived the notion of a new, an elite, a subversive, and above all cultured, [organization](#) in the late 1960's after reading Savitri Devi's Lightning and The Sun...”

And then to another with:

“If one goes far beyond 'geo-politics' - via an intuitive leap or via an empathic understanding - to what for convenience we may term 'galactic living' then there might be some [realization](#) of what the exeatic esoteric pathei-mathos of the O9A is and was concerned with and may well yet be all about in future times.”

And yet another with:

“Before that, of course, we have to re-establish culture and order within our own European lands, which is why for so many decades [the O9A has] championed National-Socialism and [organizations](#) such as Reichsfolk and [why for example] Myatt sought to find allies among such radical Muslims as desired to [destabilize](#) the governments of the West based as such governments were - and sadly still are - on certain Magian ('politically-correct') abstractions.”

---

**Update: 26/01/2025: The 2023 Anton Long interview has been found again (<https://ia801609.us.archive.org/33/items/anton-long-interview-2023/anton-long-interview-2023.pdf>) and additional examples of the above method of analysis are included below.**

During the 2023 interview “Anton Long” responds to a question with:

“Over decades, the cynical process of targeting, persuading, and influencing, people results in changing governments, for with each new election a majority of people are persuaded or believe that “it will be better, different, next time” and that their hopes will be **realized** by electing a different president or a different political party or even by electing the same political party but with a different prime minister and some different politicians.”

And another with:

“In the late 1990s and until around 2012 I merely expounded ONA 1.0 as well as set down some of the hitherto aural esoteric tradition I had inherited, such as the Rounwytha, and parts of which aural tradition I had **fictionalized** in the Deofel Quartet, and which tradition I recounted to a few friends who would later write about some of it, in their own way according to their understanding and research.”

---

Finally, even R.Parker – alleged by Chloe to be David Myatt also and therefore English – writes this in his notes:

“In order to avoid confusion for those unfamiliar with Myatt's philosophy of *patheimathos* - which assuredly has influenced AL's thinking and thus O9A philosophy - I have here used the plural denotata instead of the singular denotatum used by AL, with Myatt writing that he uses the term denotatum as an **Anglicized** term for both singular and plural instances which thus voids the need to use the inflections appropriate when writing in Latin.”

Perhaps this using of American grammar/”idiosyncratic spelling” is an honest mistake, but given that according to T.W.S Anton Long personally looked the transcripts over and made his own edits, yet overlooked the American spellings [4] of **organisation, summarise, realisation, destabilise, anglicised** etc is a red flag for anyone raised/residing in Croydon, let alone Oxford. Thus, either Anton Long didn't personally look over the transcript and Rachel Stirling embellished the truth, or the interview never took place with the Anton Long assumed to be David Myatt or Richard Moulton and was instead the Anton Long imposter, or the interview never took place period. Either way you cut it, there is a large red flag hanging over the three Anton Long interviews, a flag that blows in the direction of the suspects mentioned in the previous sections of this article.

As has thus been revealed, Anton Long's name has clear evidence of tampering; of it being used by unauthorised persons to push whatever personal (or governmental) agenda they had at the time. For this reason alone, Anton Long's name cannot be trusted after the year starting 2008 and his writings should be put under scrutiny after 2001. Should Anton Long be used henceforth you will know that it isn't Anton Long because the “line of succession” was broken as per Chloe inadvertently admitting that she inherited the ONA from the wrong person, and unless you can view a video of one of the known and verifiable old guard themselves declaring a new Anton Long, it is nothing but a trick, so don't fall for it.

#### What Can Now Be Done:

After publishing the articles *Larvae in the O9A*, *Test of the Crown*, *Veritas in Spiritu*, and now *Lest You Forget*, we can only recommend the following advice for those choosing to enter the labyrinth of the Sinister Tradition.

(1) ONA MSS and claims made after 1998 should be analysed and cross-referenced with 20<sup>th</sup> century ONA texts, but texts and claims made by the ONA after 2007 should be treated with extreme scrutiny, or better yet, not trusted at all.

(2) Watch out for American grammar used by those pretending to be old guard (we doubt they will be returning) and if in doubt ask any educated person from the United Kingdom not associated with the Sinister Tradition to analyse texts written under Anton Long's name, as they will be able to tell you if they were indeed written by an educated Englishman, Welshman, Scotsman or Irishman.

(3) Any and all David Myatt websites should be treated with extreme scrutiny.



(4) 21<sup>st</sup> century “Interviews” given by Anton Long or any of the old guard nymms for sinister persons or groups should be put under intense scrutiny, or better yet, disregarded entirely.

(5) Anything that has been said or published – or will be later said or published – by: T.W.S, WSA “Inner O9A”; Chloe, Anton Long (after 2000), Scott Liddell et al; Rachel Stirling, Thormynd, Oxfordian23; Seven Oxonians, O9A Way, o9a.org; Concerningmyatt.wordpress and davidmyatt.wordpress, regarding the ONA or David Myatt, should be treated with extreme suspicion.

*“The divine outpourings of the one whose name is intended to instruct need not be required if one has apprehended the logos, and can put to rest the past and present represented by Seven and 9.”*

- Heraclitus, Fragment 142

- Nine Neighbours  
2025 eh

Notes:

[1] Four examples of articles using americanised spelling: (i) Fairness, Fallacies, And The Craeft Of Research; (ii) An Establishment Orthodoxy; (iii) David Myatt: Forty Years of Learning; (iv) A Note On The Term Noesis In Tractate XIII; (v) Longusian Occult Philosophy.

[2] In the 2023 Anton Long interview the interviewer states, “ONA 1.0 was described in the Naos MSS collection, 1989; in the Deofel Quartet, written between 1976 and 1991, and in the two volumes of The Satanic Letters.”

[3] For more information on Fulwood: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fulwood,\\_Sheffield](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fulwood,_Sheffield)

[4] It is advised that the Deofel Quartet revisions be studied to see if you can find more examples of American grammar being used within those sinister fictions. You can find the “ONA [Authorized](#) Versions” at the following familiar link. <https://lapisphilosophicus.wordpress.com/deofel-quartet/>

References:

(1) Ortega, Chloe. Nexion Zine, Issue 8.1. p.25, p.27, p.90. 2021.  
<https://archive.org/details/nexion-zine-8.1>

(2) Ayuso, Webster Julia. Can a Comma Solve a Crime? 2024.  
<https://www.thedial.world/articles/news/issue-22/forensic-linguists-solve-crimes?src=longreads>

Ortega, Chloe; Liddell, Scott. Omega & The Alpha. 2013.  
<https://www.9ao.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/10/wp-1728852797859.pdf>

(3) Ortega, Chloe. A New Breed and Beneath The Writing. n.d.  
[https://mega.nz/file/gqNiFQoD#LjrNoeF-YPjNLNsa557aeB7E\\_Kc9H5sKBBKTqf9JZo8](https://mega.nz/file/gqNiFQoD#LjrNoeF-YPjNLNsa557aeB7E_Kc9H5sKBBKTqf9JZo8)

(4) Xenon, Beast; Ortega, Chloe. WTF Just Happened? 2013.  
<https://mega.nz/file/hzNEAYyS#jg5OeAmk4RVaUpu0Sj64j9VhNn4fjzLjj-JPIKRd8mQ>

(5) Nine Neighbours, Veritas in Spiritu. 2024.  
<https://www.9ao.org/veritas-in-spiritu/>

(6) Long, Anton. Emanations of Urania. 1976.  
<https://mega.nz/file/57tAELRD#E6BvXwctXwFiAaz7DOHUVLe-BzYuZFH7K4qYK6toWhA>

- (7) Fraternitas Loki. Nature, Magick and Being. 1995.  
[https://mega.nz/file/lusUARbY#Mg-5AA75MgIQKat\\_ycGq72yipyxggHnZA1YObDLOvo](https://mega.nz/file/lusUARbY#Mg-5AA75MgIQKat_ycGq72yipyxggHnZA1YObDLOvo)
- (8) Lowles, Nick; Myatt, David. A Conversation with David Myatt. 1998.  
[https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1bvpQ1PTg-jl8dSAXI0wh1A3cu\\_08vmL1](https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1bvpQ1PTg-jl8dSAXI0wh1A3cu_08vmL1)
- (9) Myatt, David; Hall, Wulfran. In Defiance of Dishonour: David Myatt Addresses the Aryan Nations. 116Yf (2005). <https://mega.nz/file/su0m2JaA#6xtgbGUVLo0wp3fVuJchR-o4o9TOFk8x1Mmxzhd4lUY>
- (10) Xeno, Beest; Ortega, Chloe. A Brief Hello. 2012.  
[https://mega.nz/file/BnkhnYQQ#evivIS3P\\_DAFfcW\\_L8XvvUZ0QFe-v7P\\_vDD4GhLJtIo](https://mega.nz/file/BnkhnYQQ#evivIS3P_DAFfcW_L8XvvUZ0QFe-v7P_vDD4GhLJtIo)
- (11) De Grimston, Robert. Logic One: Responsibility. Process Church of the Final Judgement. 1967.  
[http://processeans.co.uk/L-1\\_Responsibility.html?i=2](http://processeans.co.uk/L-1_Responsibility.html?i=2)
- (12) Astral Bone Gnawers (ABG Lodge). Rejecting Camlad III, KTF, ET AL. Mvimaedivred. 2024  
<https://mvimaedivmred.proboards.com/thread/384/rejecting-camlad-iii-ktf-et>



This document is licensed under:

**CC BY-ND 4.0**  
**ATTRIBUTION-NODERIVATIVES**  
**4.0 INTERNATIONAL**

The document can therefore be copied  
and redistributed under the terms of that  
license.